

Rhetoric of Reaction (Hirschman, 1991)

3 Progression - followed by IDEOLOGICAL COUNTERTHRUSTS

- WHITEHEAD: ADVANCES all but WRECK SOCIETIES

7 PROTOTYPICAL ARGUMENTS:

- PERVERSITY: EXACERBATION

- FUTILITY

- JEOPARDY: ENDANGERS

REACTION: SCIENTIFIC TERMS: NEWTON + BICAMERALISM

2. PEVERSITY THESIS:

11 PROGRESSIVE STANCE = DEFAULT

∴ ATTACK = NON-DIRECT ∴ ENDORSE - BUT ≠ SPECIFIC ACTION

BURKE: DEMOCRACY → TYRANNY

14 - SCOTTISH ENLIGHTENMENT + UNINTENDED EFFECTS (INVISIBLE HAND)

- SHIFT: ENLIGHTENMENT → ROMANTICISM - OPTIMISM → PESSIMISM

- ONLY = SLIGHT FORMAL MODIFICATIONS

- SELF-SEEKING = GOOD

- INVISIBLE HAND - SUBSTITUTES DEISTIC TELEOLOGY

*→ 19 MAN held up to ridicule by God + privileged KNOWERS

23 SCIENCE: USED TO SHOW U. SUFFRAGE = SHALLOW

27 SELF-REGULATING MARKET: IF ALWAYS VIEWED AS PERVERSE

SOCIAL ASSIS. : 'IF W/ "MARKET OUTCOMES"

29 = SLOTH + DEPRIVITY

*→ 32 = FORRESTER - COUNTER-INTUITIVE BEHAVIOR OF SYSTEMS.

= INTELLECTUAL TERRORISM

- 'TOO COMPLEX FOR NORMAL READERS TO UNDERSTAND'

- WIDESPREAD DISAPPOINTMENT followed upon Great Society

: GLAZER (1971) - STATE OR FAMILY NOT (?) both.

: MURRAY - REDUX OF POOR LAWS.

36 UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES: SCIENCE/SCOTTISH ENLIGHTENMENT

- EXPERIENCE OF Superiority

HUBRIS-NEMESIS: HOBBS '10 ENLIGHTENMENT

3. Futility Thesis :

43 P-EFFECT: PARADOXICAL - IMMEDIATE ANSWERS

F-THESIS: MORE REFINED - DISAIMPLY SIMPLE:

- Will NOT touch "deep" STRUCTURES OF SOCIETY.
- LAW OF NO-MOTION

45 - CONSERVATIVE bias OF EPICURANS

* -> 51 - USE OF "law" / SCIENTIFIC "FACT"

70 - E.g. Pareto's LAWS

- Ability of Soc. Science to -> LAWS = CONSID. QUESTION.

72 - BASED ON OPPOSITE VIEW OF UNIVERSE THAN P-EFFECT

- P-EFFECT: myth / religion
- F-thesis: SCIENCE

74 ECON.: ANTI-KRYNES: FUTILITY

- NO HOPE FOR ANY HUMAN INTERVENTION

76 - NAIVE / SELFISH CRAFTY

F-thesis: works BY COMPARING PURPOSES TO PRACTICE.

- CAN BE USED ~ IMMEDIATELY w/ FIRST "FAILURE"

* -> - & JUDGE ITS OWN EFFECTS: SELF-FAVORING / SELF-REPUTING

79 - CONTEMPTUOUS TOWARD CHANGE / PROGRESS

- DE FACTO INEPTITUDE

4. Jeopardy Thesis:

81 > COMMON - SENSICAL / MODERATE

UNACCEPTABLE COSTS + CONSEQUENCES

CONCORD: AGREE IN PRINCIPLE - BUT OPPOSE (ACADEMIA)

83 SLIPPERY SLOPE

110 HAYEK: FREEDOM - GOV'T I/F w/ MARKET ↓ LIBERTY.

112 - PEOPLE = ONLY LIMITED CONSENT ∴ EXPAND GOV'T = COERCION

115 - NOW: GOV'T AT ODDS w/ ECON. growth.

121 Mc LUHAN: ELECTRONIC ENDS PRINTING

NOT SUPPORTED by fx ∴ INTELLECTUAL ATTRACTION :

- 15 MIN. OF FAME

* -> - EASIER + MORE ARRESTING

123 - MYTHS + STEREOTYPES

- "ANCIENT" = MORE VALUABLE THAN NEW.

E.G. CYBERSPACE MUST RES.

5. THREE THESES COMBINED:

- 134 Jeopardy = BEFORE PERUERSITY - IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE.
 137 Peruersity = MOST RECENT ANTI-WELFARE ARG.
 140 - MOST INFLUENTIAL / POWERFUL

6. PROGRESSIVE RHETORIC:

- *→ 149 Jeopardy: RELIANCE ON METAPHORISM
 INCOMPATIBILITY + CONFLICT
- 151 Mutual Support = PROGRESSIVE: SYNERGISTIC FALLACY
 153 IMMINENT DANGER THESIS
- 155 STABILITY / MOTION = hx SOCIAL SCIENCE:
 - NEWTON OF SOC. SCIENCES (MARX): MOTION (ENLIGHTENMENT)
 157 - PARETO: DEEP ECON. + SOCIAL STRUCTURES: stability
 - ∴ Liberal = shallow
- *→ 160 Liberal Remolding + POWER / CONTROL
 - SELF-DICTATED REASON

7. BEYOND INTRANSIGENCE:

- 165 Mocking = INTEGRAL COMPONENT OF CONSERVATIVES
 EARNESTNESS = PROGRESSIVES

INTRINSIC APPEAL:

- MYTHS
- INTERPRETATIONS
- FLATTERING AUTHORS
- EACH TYPE = PROGRESSIVE COUNTERPART
- *→ - BOTH = IMAGINARY SERIES OF DEBATES
- 168 - BOTH = INTRANSIGENT ≠ DEMOCRACY.
 - DELIBERATION